

SEQUENTIALLY COHEN-MACAULAY MONOMIAL IDEALS OF EMBEDDING DIMENSION FOUR

SARFRAZ AHMAD, DORIN POPESCU

ABSTRACT. Let I be a monomial ideal of the polynomial ring $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_4]$ over a field K . Then S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if S/I is pretty clean. In particular, if S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay then I is a Stanley ideal.

Key words : Monomial Ideals, Prime Filtrations, Pretty Clean Filtrations, Stanley Ideals.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13H10, Secondary 13P10, 13C14, 13F20.

INTRODUCTION

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K and $I \subset S$ a monomial ideal. If S/I is Gorenstein of codimension three then a description of I is given in [1, Theorem 6.1] in terms of the minimal system of monomial generators. Here we are interested to describe monomial ideals I when $n = 4$ and S/I is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension two in terms of the primary decomposition of I . As a consequence we get a particular form of [4, Proposition 1.4] for $n = 4$, which says that if S/I is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension two then S/I is *clean*, that is (after [3]) there exists a prime filtration $I = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_r = S$ of monomial ideals such that $F_i/F_{i-1} \cong (S/P_i)(a_i)$ for some prime ideals P_i of S with $ht(P_i) = \dim(S/I)$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

More general, given a monomial ideal I of S then S/I is called *pretty clean* after [5] if there exists a prime filtration $I \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_r = S$ of monomial ideals such that $F_i/F_{i-1} \cong S/P_i(a_i)$ for some prime ideals P_i of S with the property that $P_i \subset P_j$ and $i \leq j$ implies $P_i = P_j$, that is, roughly speaking, "bigger primes come first" in the filtration. [5, Corollary 4.3] says that if S/I is pretty clean then S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, that is the non-zero factors of the dimension filtration of [8] (see next section) are Cohen-Macaulay. Our Theorem 1.3 says that for $n = 4$ it is true also the converse, namely that if S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay then S/I is pretty clean.

The authors are highly grateful to the School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University, Lahore, Pakistan in supporting and facilitating this research. The second author was supported by CNCSIS and the Contract 2-CEX06-11-20/2006 of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research and the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan.

A decomposition of S/I as a direct sum of linear K -spaces of the form $S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i K[Z_i]$, where u_i are monomials of S and $Z_i \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ are subsets, is called a *Stanley decomposition*. Stanley [10] conjectured that there always exists such a decomposition such that $|Z_i| \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$. If Stanley conjecture holds for S/I then I is called a *Stanley ideal*. Our Corollary 1.4 says that if $n = 4$, I is monomial and S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay then I is a Stanley ideal (this follows because I is a Stanley ideal whenever S/I is pretty clean as says [5, Theorem 6.5]).

1. SEQUENTIALLY COHEN-MACAULAY MONOMIAL IDEALS OF EMBEDDING DIMENSION FOUR ARE PRETTY CLEAN

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K . The following result [4, Proposition 1.4] is essential in this section.

Theorem 1.1 (Herzog-Soleyman Jahan-Yassemi). *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal of height two such that S/I is Cohen-Macaulay. Then S/I is clean.*

The proof of Herzog, Soleyman Jahan and Yassemi passes the problem to the polarization, where they could use strong tools from simplicial complex theory. In the next section we give a direct proof in the case $n = 4$, which uses just elementary theory of monomial ideals. With this occasion we give also a complete description of all monomial ideals I of height 2 in the case $n = 4$ with S/I Cohen-Macaulay. The conditions given in this description are sometimes difficult but they could easily give nice examples of monomial ideals I with S/I not Cohen-Macaulay, but having all associated primes of height 2 and with $S/\sqrt{I}$ Cohen-Macaulay (see Example 2.7). Certainly if S/I is Cohen-Macaulay then $S/\sqrt{I}$ is too by [6, Theorem 2.6] (this holds only for monomial ideals). We mention that special descriptions of some monomial Cohen-Macaulay ideals of codimension 2 are given in [6, Theorem 3.2].

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and $I = \bigcap_{p \in \text{Ass}(S/I)} P_p$, $\sqrt{P_p} = p$, an irredundant primary decomposition of I . Set $D_i(I) = \bigcap_{p \in \text{Ass}^{>i}(S/I)} P_p$, for $-1 \leq i < n$, where $\text{Ass}^{>i}(S/I) = \{p \in \text{Ass}(S/I) : \dim(S/p) > i\}$. We get in this way the dimension filtration of S/I

$$I = D_{-1}(I) \subset D_0(I) \subset \dots \subset D_{n-2}(I) \subset D_{n-1}(I) = S,$$

introduced by Schenzel [8] (n is the number of variables of S). S/I is *sequentially Cohen-Macaulay* if all non-zero factors of this filtration are Cohen-Macaulay. In the monomial case, the notions of "sequentially Cohen-Macaulay" and "pretty clean" are connected by the following result of [5, Corollary 4.3].

Theorem 1.2 (Herzog-Popescu). *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and*

$$I = D_{-1}(I) \subset D_0(I) \subset \dots \subset D_{n-2}(I) \subset D_{n-1}(I) = S$$

the dimension filtration of S/I . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) S/I is pretty clean,
- (2) S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and all non-zero factors of the dimension filtration are clean,

(3) all non-zero factors of the dimension filtration are clean.

From now on $S = K[x, y, z, w]$, that is the case $n = 4$. The above theorems are main tools in proving the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $I \subset S = K[x, y, z, w]$ be a monomial ideal. Then S/I is pretty clean if and only if I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 it is enough to show that the non-zero factors of the dimension filtration

$$I = D_{-1}(I) \subset D_0(I) \subset D_1(I) \subset D_2(I) \subset D_3(I) = S,$$

are clean if they are Cohen-Macaulay. Since S is factorial ring and $D_2(I)$ is an intersection of primary height one ideals we get $D_2(I) = (u)$ for a certain monomial $u \in S$. Clearly $S/(u)$ is clean (see e. g. [9, Lemma 1.9]). As $D_2(I)/D_1(I) \cong S/(D_1(I) : u)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 2 we get $D_2(I)/D_1(I)$ clean by Theorem 1.1. Now note that $D_1(I)/D_0(I)$ and $D_1(I)/I$ are clean by [7, Corollary 2.2] because the prime ideals associated to those modules are of height ≥ 3 . $\square$

Corollary 1.4. *Let $I \subset S = K[x, y, z, w]$ be a monomial ideal. If S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay then I is a Stanley ideal.*

Proof. By the above theorem S/I is pretty clean and it is enough to apply [5, Theorem 6.5]. $\square$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 IN THE CASE $n = 4$

Let K be a field and $S = K[x, y, z, w]$ be the polynomial ring in four variables. We denote $G(I)$ to be the set of minimal monomial generators for an ideal I in S . First next lemmas, which involve ideals generated in 3 variables are easy and contained somehow in [9], but we prove them for the sake of our completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that $\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (x, z)\}$. Then S/I is clean.*

Proof. Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$ be the irredundant decomposition of I in irreducible monomial ideals (see [11]). Let $Q_1 = (x^a, y^b)$ and $J = \bigcap_{i=2}^s Q_i$, where b is the maximum power of y , which enters in $G(Q_i)$. Then we have the filtration $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset Q_1 \subset S$. Clearly S/Q_1 is clean. Apply induction on s . We have $Q_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$. As $G((I, x^a) : y^b)$ contains only monomials in $\{x, z\}$ we see that $((I, x^a) : y^b)$ is primary because it is the intersection of those (Q_i, x^a) with $\sqrt{Q_i} = (x, z)$. Thus $Q_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean.

On the other hand $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$ and $(I : x^a) = \bigcap_{i=2}^s (Q_i : x^a)$. We are done by induction hypothesis on $s \geq 2$, case $s = 2$ being trivial since $(I : x^a)$ is irreducible. Thus $(I, x^a)/I$ is clean. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that*

$$\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (x, z), (y, z)\}.$$

Then S/I is clean.

Proof. Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$ be the irredundant decomposition of I in irreducible monomial

ideals. Let $Q_1 = (x^a, y^b)$ and $J = \bigcap_{i=2}^s Q_i$, where b is the maximum such that y^b enter in $G(Q_i)$. Then we have the filtration $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset Q_1 \subset S$.

Clearly S/Q_1 is clean. Apply induction on s . We have $Q_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$. As $G((I, x^a) : y^b)$ contains only monomials in $\{x, z\}$ we see that $((I, x^a) : y^b)$ is primary and its radical is (x, z) . Thus $Q_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean.

On the other hand $S/(I : x^a) \cong (I, x^a)/I$ and $(I : x^a) = \bigcap_{i=2}^s (Q_i : x^a)$. We apply induction hypothesis on $s \geq 3$, $(I : x^a)$ being in the case $s = 3$ just an irreducible ideal. Thus $(I, x^a)/I$ is clean. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that $\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (z, w)\}$. Then S/I is not Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Let $I = P_1 \cap P_2$ be the irredundant decomposition of I in monomial primary ideals, let us say $\sqrt{P_1} = (x, y)$, $\sqrt{P_2} = (z, w)$. Then $S/(P_1 + P_2)$ has dimension 0 and from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/P_1 \oplus S/P_2 \rightarrow S/(P_1 + P_2) \rightarrow 0$, we get $\text{depth}(S/I) = 1$ by Depth Lemma (see e. g. [2, Proposition 1.2.9]). Thus S/I is not Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

Remark 2.4. The above lemma is trivial when I is a reduced ideal because the simplicial complex associated to I is not connected and so not Cohen-Macaulay. If I is Cohen-Macaulay then $\sqrt{I}$ is too by [6, Theorem 2.6], which gives another proof of this lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that*

$$\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (x, z), (x, w)\}$$

and let $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3$ be the irredundant monomial primary decomposition of I , where $\sqrt{P_1} = (x, y)$, $\sqrt{P_2} = (x, z)$, $\sqrt{P_3} = (x, w)$. Then (S/I) is clean.

Proof. Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$ be the irredundant monomial irreducible decomposition of I .

Apply induction on s . If $s = 3$, then $(P_i)_i$ must be irreducible and so P_1 has the form (x^a, y^b) . We consider the filtration

$I \subset (I, x^a) \subset (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. Note that $P_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$. But $((I, x^a) : y^b) = (P_1 \cap (P_2, x^a) \cap ((P_3, x^a) : y^b)) = ((P_2, x^a) : y^b) \cap ((P_3, x^a) : y^b) = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a)$ is clean by Lemma 2.1. Thus $P_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean.

Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$. We have $(I : x^a) = (P_2 : x^a) \cap (P_3 : x^a)$ and so $S/(I : x^a)$ is clean by Lemma 2.1. Gluing together the clean filtrations obtained above we get a clean filtration of S/I for $s = 3$.

Assume $s > 3$. After renumbering Q_i we may suppose that $Q_1 = (x^a, y^b)$ for some a, b . Moreover we may suppose that b is the biggest power of y which can enter in $\bigcup_{i=1}^s G(Q_i)$. Consider the filtration as above $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset Q_1 = (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. We have $Q_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$ and $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a)$ as above. Thus $Q_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean. Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$ and $(I : x^a) = \bigcap_{i=2}^s (Q_i : x^a)$ and $S/(I : x^a)$ is clean by induction hypothesis. As above gluing the obtained clean filtrations we get S/I clean. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that*

$$\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (x, z), (z, w)\}$$

and let $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3$ be the irredundant monomial primary decomposition of I , where $\sqrt{P_1} = (x, y)$, $\sqrt{P_2} = (x, z)$, $\sqrt{P_3} = (z, w)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- i) S/I is clean.*
- ii) S/I is Cohen-Macaulay.*
- iii) $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$.*

Proof. $i) \Rightarrow ii)$: By [5, Corollary 4.3], we get S/I sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Since all primes from $\text{Ass}(S/I)$ have the same dimension it follows that S/I is Cohen-Macaulay.

$ii) \Rightarrow iii)$: Let $J = P_1 \cap P_2$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_3 \rightarrow S/(J + P_3) \rightarrow 0$, we get that $\text{depth}(S/I) = 1$ if $\text{depth}(S/(J + P_3)) = 0$. But $J + P_3 = (P_1 + P_3) \cap (P_2 + P_3)$ and $P_1 + P_3$ is primary of height 4 and $P_2 + P_3$ is primary of height 3. Thus $\text{depth}(S/(J + P_3)) = 0$ if and only if $P_2 + P_3 \not\subset P_1 + P_3$, that is $P_2 \not\subset P_1 + P_3$. Therefore if $P_2 \not\subset P_1 + P_3$ then S/I is not Cohen-Macaulay, which proves $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$.

$iii) \Rightarrow i)$: Suppose now that $iii)$ holds and let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$ be the irredundant monomial irreducible decomposition of I . Apply induction on s . If $s = 3$, then $(P_i)_i$ must be irreducible and so P_3 has the form (z^r, w^t) . We consider the filtration $I \subset (I, z^r) \subset (z^r, w^t) \subset S$. Note that $P_3/(I, z^r) \cong S/((I, z^r) : w^t)$. But $((I, z^r) : w^t) = (((P_1, z^r) \cap (P_2, z^r) \cap P_3) : w^t) = ((P_1, z^r) : w^t) \cap ((P_2, z^r) : w^t) = (P_1, z^r) \cap (P_2, z^r)$. As $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$ it follows that $P_2 \subset (P_1, z^r)$ and so $(I, z^r) : w^t = (P_2, z^r)$ which is primary with $\sqrt{(P_2, z^r)} = (x, z)$. Thus $P_3/(I, z^r)$ is clean. Now note that $(I, z^r)/I \cong S/(I : z^r)$. We have $(I : z^r) = (P_1 : z^r) \cap (P_2 : z^r)$ and so $S/(I : z^r)$ is clean by Lemma 2.1. Gluing together the clean filtrations obtained above we get a clean filtration of S/I , that is $iii) \Rightarrow i)$ for $s = 3$.

Assume $s > 3$. After renumbering Q_i we may suppose that $Q_1 = (z^r, w^t)$ for some r, t . Moreover we may suppose that t is the biggest power of w which can enter in $\bigcup_{i=1}^s G(Q_i)$. Consider the filtration as above $I \subset (I, z^r) \subset Q_1 = (z^r, w^t) \subset S$. We have $Q_1/(I, z^r) \cong S/((I, z^r) : w^t)$ and $((I, z^r) : w^t) = ((P_1, z^r) : w^t) \cap ((P_2, z^r) : w^t) \cap ((P_3, z^r) : w^t) = (P_1, z^r) \cap (P_2, z^r) = (P_2, z^r)$ as above. Thus $Q_1/(I, z^r)$ is

clean. Now note that $(I, z^r)/I \cong S/(I : z^r)$ and $(I : z^r) = \bigcap_{i=2}^s (Q_i : z^r)$ and we apply the induction hypothesis for $(I : z^r)$ if we see that *iii*) holds for it. Clearly *iii*) implies $(P_2 : z^r) \subset (P_1 : z^r) + (P_3 : z^r)$ which is enough (note that $(P_3 : z^r)$ can be a proper ideal in this case). As above gluing the obtained clean filtrations we get S/I clean. $\square$

Example 2.7. Let $I = (x^2, y) \cap (x, z) \cap (z, w)$. Then S/I is not Cohen-Macaulay by the above lemma, but $S/\sqrt{I}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, because the simplicial complex associated to $\sqrt{I}$ is shellable.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that*

$$\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (x, w), (y, w), (x, z)\}$$

and let $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap P_4$ be the irredundant monomial primary decomposition of I , where $\sqrt{P_1} = (x, y)$, $\sqrt{P_2} = (x, w)$, $\sqrt{P_3} = (y, w)$, $\sqrt{P_4} = (x, z)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- i) S/I is clean.*
- ii) S/I is Cohen-Macaulay.*
- iii) $P_1 \subset P_3 + P_4$ or $P_2 \subset P_3 + P_4$.*

Proof. *i) $\Rightarrow$ ii)* as in Lemma 2.6.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) : Let $J = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_4$. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_3 \rightarrow S/(J + P_3) \rightarrow 0$, we get that $\text{depth}(S/I) = 1$ if $\text{depth}(S/J + P_3) = 0$. But $(J + P_3) = (P_1 + P_3) \cap (P_2 + P_3) \cap (P_4 + P_3)$, where $(P_4 + P_3)$ is primary of height 4 and $(P_1 + P_3), (P_2 + P_3)$ are primary of height 3. Thus $\text{depth}(S/(J + P_3)) = 0$ if and only if $P_1 + P_3 \not\subset P_4 + P_3$ and $P_2 + P_3 \not\subset P_4 + P_3$, that is $P_1 \not\subset P_4 + P_3$ and $P_2 \not\subset P_4 + P_3$. Therefore if $P_1 \not\subset P_4 + P_3$ and $P_2 \not\subset P_4 + P_3$ then S/I is not Cohen-Macaulay, which proves *ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii)*.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ i) : Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$ be the irredundant monomial irreducible decomposition of

I . Applying induction on s . If $s = 4$, then (P_i) must be irreducible and so P_1 has the form (x^a, y^b) . Let *iii*) holds, let us say $P_1 \subset P_3 + P_4$. Consider the filtration $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. Note that $P_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$. But $((I, x^a) : y^b) = (P_1 \cap (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a) \cap ((P_4, x^a) : y^b) = ((P_2, x^a) : y^b) \cap ((P_3, x^a) : y^b) \cap ((P_4, x^a) : y^b) = (P_2, x^a) \cap ((P_3, x^a) : y^b) \cap (P_4, x^a)$.

As $P_1 \subset P_4 + P_3$ it follows that b is the biggest power of y appearing in $\{G(P_1), G(P_3)\}$ and so $(I, x^a) : y^b$ is generated by the variables in x, z, w only, and hence clean by Lemma 2.1.

Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$. We have $(I : x^a) = (P_2 : x^a) \cap (P_3 : x^a) \cap (P_4 : x^a)$, again since by hypothesis $(I : x^a) = P_2 \cap P_3$, and so $S/(I : x^a)$ is clean by Lemma 2.2. Gluing together the filtration described above we get a clean filtration of S/I .

Similarly, if $P_2 \subset P_3 + P_4$, and $P_2 = (x^n, w^p)$, then the filtration $I \subset (I, x^n) \subset (x^n, w^p) \subset S$ is refined to a clean one. That is *iii) $\Rightarrow$ i)* for $s = 4$.

Assume $s > 4$. After renumbering Q_i we may suppose that $Q_1 = (x^a, y^b)$ for some a, b . Moreover we may suppose that b is the biggest power of y which can

enter in $G(Q_i)$ with $\sqrt{Q_i} = (x, y)$. Consider the filtration as above $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset Q_1 = (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. We have $Q_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$ and $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap ((P_3, x^a) : y^b) \cap (P_4, x^a)$. As $P_1 \subset P_3 + P_4$ it follows that b is the biggest power of y , which appear in $G(P_3)$. Thus $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_4, x^a)$ and so $Q_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean by Lemma 2.1. Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$ and $(I : x^a) = \bigcap_{i=2}^s (Q_i : x^a)$ and we apply the induction hypothesis for $(I : x^a)$ if we see that *iii*) holds for it. Clearly *iii*) implies $(P_1 : x^a) \subset (P_3 : x^a) + (P_4 : x^a)$ which is enough. As above gluing the described clean filtration we get S/I clean. Similarly for $P_2 \subset P_3 + P_4$, choosing $Q_1 = (x^n, w^p)$, we complete the proof as above. $\square$

Lemma 2.9. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that*

$$\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (x, z), (z, w), (y, w)\}$$

and let $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap P_4$ be the irredundant monomial primary decomposition of I , where $\sqrt{P_1} = (x, y)$, $\sqrt{P_2} = (x, z)$, $\sqrt{P_3} = (z, w)$, $\sqrt{P_4} = (y, w)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- i) S/I is clean.*
- ii) S/I is Cohen-Macaulay.*
- iii) $\{P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4 \text{ or } P_3 \subset P_2 + P_4\}$ and $\{P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3 \text{ or } P_4 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$.*

Proof. *i) $\Rightarrow$ ii)* as in Lemma 2.6.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) : Let $J = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3$. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_4 \rightarrow S/(J + P_4) \rightarrow 0$, we get that $\text{depth}(S/I) = 1$ if $\text{depth}(S/J + P_4) = 0$. But $(J + P_4) = (P_1 + P_4) \cap (P_2 + P_4) \cap (P_3 + P_4)$, where $(P_2 + P_4)$ is primary of height 4 and $(P_1 + P_4), (P_3 + P_4)$ are primary of height 3. Thus $\text{depth}(S/J + P_4) = 0$ if and only if $P_1 + P_4 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_3 + P_4 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$, that is $P_1 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_3 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$. Therefore if $P_1 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_3 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ then S/I is not Cohen-Macaulay.

On the other hand if $J = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_4$ then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_3 \rightarrow S/(J + P_3) \rightarrow 0$ gives the other conditions i.e. $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$ or $P_4 \subset P_1 + P_3$. Remaining choices for J , are equivalent to these two cases, which proves *ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii)*.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ i) : Suppose now that *iii*) holds, let us say $\{P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$ holds. Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$ be the irredundant monomial irreducible decomposition of I .

Apply induction on s . If $s = 4$, then (P_i) must be irreducible and so P_1 has the form (x^a, y^b) . We consider the filtration

$I \subset (I, x^a) \subset (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. Note that $P_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$. But $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_1 \cap (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a) \cap (P_4, x^a)) : y^b = ((P_2, x^a) : y^b) \cap ((P_3, x^a) : y^b) \cap ((P_4, x^a) : y^b) = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a) \cap ((P_4, x^a) : y^b)$.

As $P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4$, so b is the biggest power of y in $\{G(P_1), G(P_4)\}$. It follows that $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a)$. Since $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$ it follows that $(P_2, x^a) = P_2 \subset (P_3, x^a)$. Thus $(I, x^a) : y^b$ is primary and so clean.

Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$. We have $(I : x^a) = (P_2 : x^a) \cap (P_3 : x^a) \cap (P_4 : x^a)$. As above a is the biggest power of x in $G(P_2)$ because $P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4$. Thus $I : x^a = P_3 \cap P_4$ and so $S/(I : x^a)$ is clean by again Lemma 2.1. Gluing together the

clean filtrations obtained above we get a clean filtration of S/I , that is when $s = 4$, then $i)$ holds for $\{P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$.

Assume $s > 4$. After renumbering Q_i we may suppose that $Q_1 = (x^a, y^b)$ for some a, b . Moreover we may suppose that b is the biggest power of y which can enter in $G(Q_i)$ with $\sqrt{Q_i} = (x, y)$. Consider the filtration as above $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset Q_1 = (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. We have $Q_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$ and $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a) \cap ((P_4, x^a) : y^b) = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a)$ as above because $P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4$. Since $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$ we see that $(P_2, x^a) \subset (P_3, x^a)$ and so $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a)$ is primary. Thus $Q_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean. Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$ and $(I : x^a) = \bigcap_{i=2}^s (Q_i : x^a)$ and we apply the induction hypothesis for $(I : x^a)$ if we see that $(P_1 : x^a) \subset (P_2 : x^a) + (P_4 : x^a)$ and $(P_2 : x^a) \subset (P_1 : x^a) + (P_3 : x^a)$ which is clear. As above gluing the obtained clean filtrations we get S/I clean. Other cases from $iii)$, i.e. $\{P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_4 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$, $\{P_3 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$ and $\{P_3 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_4 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$ are similar. $\square$

Lemma 2.10. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that*

$$\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (x, z), (z, w), (y, w), (y, z)\}$$

and let $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap P_4 \cap P_5$ be the irredundant monomial primary decomposition of I , where $\sqrt{P_1} = (x, y)$, $\sqrt{P_2} = (x, z)$, $\sqrt{P_3} = (z, w)$, $\sqrt{P_4} = (y, w)$, $\sqrt{P_5} = (y, z)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) S/I is clean.

ii) S/I is Cohen-Macaulay.

iii) $\{P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4 \text{ or } P_3 \subset P_2 + P_4 \text{ or } P_5 \subset P_2 + P_4\}$ and $\{P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3 \text{ or } P_4 \subset P_1 + P_3 \text{ or } P_5 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$.

Proof. $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ as in Lemma 2.6.

$ii) \Rightarrow iii)$: Let $J = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap P_5$. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_4 \rightarrow S/(J + P_4) \rightarrow 0$, we get that $\text{depth}(S/I) = 1$ if $\text{depth}(S/J + P_4) = 0$. But $(J + P_4) = (P_1 + P_4) \cap (P_2 + P_4) \cap (P_3 + P_4) \cap (P_5 + P_4)$, where $(P_2 + P_4)$ is primary of height 4 and $(P_1 + P_4), (P_3 + P_4), (P_4 + P_5)$ are primary of height 3. Thus $\text{depth}(S/J + P_4) = 0$ if and only if $P_1 + P_4 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_3 + P_4 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_5 + P_4 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$, that is $P_1 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_3 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_5 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$. Therefore if $P_1 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_3 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_5 \not\subset P_2 + P_4$ then S/I is not Cohen-Macaulay.

On the other hand if $J = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_4 \cap P_5$ then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_3 \rightarrow S/(J + P_3) \rightarrow 0$ gives the other conditions i.e. $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$ or $P_4 \subset P_1 + P_3$ or $P_5 \subset P_1 + P_3$. Remaining choices for J , are equivalent to these two cases, which proves $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$.

$iii) \Rightarrow i)$: Suppose now that $iii)$ holds, let us say $\{P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$ holds. Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$ be the irredundant monomial irreducible decomposition of I .

Apply induction on s . If $s = 5$, then (P_i) must be irreducible and so P_1 has the form (x^a, y^b) .

Here we can suppose b to be the biggest power of y in $\{P_1, P_4\}$ because $P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4$.

If $y^b \in G(P_5)$ then we consider the filtration $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. Note that $P_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$. But $((I, x^a) : y^b) = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a) = (P_2, x^a)$ because $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$. Thus $P_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean. Also note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$ and $I : x^a = P_3 \cap P_4 \cap P_5$ because $P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4$. Thus $(I, x^a)/I$ is clean by Lemma 2.2. If $y^b \notin G(P_5)$ then let $P_5 = (y^r, z^t)$ and we consider the filtration $I \subset (I, z^t) \subset (y^r, z^t) \subset S$. As above we have $P_5/(I, z^t) \cong S/((I, z^t) : y^r)$ and $((I, z^t) : y^r) = (P_2, z^t) \cap (P_3, z^t)$. Thus $P_5/(I, z^t)$ is clean by Lemma 2.1. Also note that $(I, z^t)/I \cong S/(I : z^t)$. Since $I : z^t = P_1 \cap (P_2 : z^t) \cap (P_3 : z^t) \cap P_4$ we see that $(I, z^t)/I$ is clean by Lemma 2.9. Gluing together the clean filtrations obtained above we get a clean filtration of S/I , that is when $s = 5$, then $i)$ holds for $\{P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$.

Assume $s > 5$. After renumbering Q_i we may suppose that $Q_1 = (x^a, y^b)$ for some a, b . Moreover we may suppose that b is the biggest power of y which can enter in $G(Q_i)$ with $\sqrt{Q_i} = (x, y)$. If $y^b \in G(P_5)$ consider the filtration as above $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset Q_1 = (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. We have $Q_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$ and $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a)$ because $P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4$. Also we get $x^a \in G(P_2)$. Since $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$ we have $P_2 \subset (P_3, x^a)$ and so $(I, x^a) : y^b = P_2$ is primary. Thus $Q_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean. Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$ and $(I : x^a) = \bigcap_{i=2}^s (Q_i : x^a)$

and we apply the induction hypothesis because $(I : x^a)$ satisfies the condition similar to $iii)$. Gluing the obtained clean filtrations we get S/I clean. If $y^b \notin G(P_5)$ then $y^r \in G(P_5)$ for some $r > b$. After renumbering Q_i we may suppose that $Q_1 = (y^r, z^t)$. We consider the filtration $I \subset (I, z^t) \subset Q_1 \subset S$. We have $Q_1/(I, z^t) \cong S/((I, z^t) : y^r)$ and $((I, z^t) : y^r) = (P_2, z^t) \cap (P_3, z^t)$ and applying Lemma 2.1 we get $Q_1/(I, z^t)$ clean. Now the proof goes as above.

Other cases from $iii)$ are similar. $\square$

Lemma 2.11. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that*

$$\text{Ass}(S/I) = \{(x, y), (x, z), (z, w), (y, w), (y, z), (x, w)\}$$

and let $I = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap P_4 \cap P_5 \cap P_6$ be the irredundant monomial primary decomposition of I , where $\sqrt{P_1} = (x, y)$, $\sqrt{P_2} = (x, z)$, $\sqrt{P_3} = (z, w)$, $\sqrt{P_4} = (y, w)$, $\sqrt{P_5} = (y, z)$, $\sqrt{P_6} = (x, w)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) S/I is clean.

ii) S/I is Cohen-Macaulay.

*iii) $\{P_1 \subset P_5 + P_6 \text{ or } P_2 \subset P_5 + P_6 \text{ or } P_3 \subset P_5 + P_6 \text{ or } P_4 \subset P_5 + P_6\}$
and $\{P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4 \text{ or } P_3 \subset P_2 + P_4 \text{ or } P_5 \subset P_2 + P_4 \text{ or } P_6 \subset P_2 + P_4\}$
and $\{P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3 \text{ or } P_4 \subset P_1 + P_3 \text{ or } P_5 \subset P_1 + P_3 \text{ or } P_6 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$.*

Proof. $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ as in Lemma 2.6.

$ii) \Rightarrow iii)$: Let $J = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap P_4 \cap P_5$. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_6 \rightarrow S/(J + P_6) \rightarrow 0$, we get that $\text{depth}(S/I) = 1$ if $\text{depth}(S/J + P_6) = 0$. But $(J + P_6) = (P_1 + P_6) \cap (P_2 + P_6) \cap (P_3 + P_6) \cap (P_4 + P_6) \cap (P_5 + P_6)$, where $(P_5 + P_6)$ is primary of height 4 and $\{(P_1 + P_6), (P_2 + P_6), (P_3 + P_6), (P_4 + P_6)\}$ are primary of height 3. Thus $\text{depth}(S/J + P_6) = 0$ if and only if $P_1 + P_6 \not\subset P_5 + P_6$ and $P_2 + P_6 \not\subset P_5 + P_6$ and $P_3 + P_6 \not\subset P_5 + P_6$ and $P_4 + P_6 \not\subset P_5 + P_6$, that is $P_1 \not\subset P_5 + P_6$

and $P_2 \not\subset P_5 + P_6$ and $P_3 \not\subset P_5 + P_6$ and $P_4 \not\subset P_5 + P_6$. So this gives one condition of *iii*).

On the other hand if $J = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap P_5 \cap P_6$ then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_4 \rightarrow S/(J + P_4) \rightarrow 0$ gives the second condition of *iii*). And finally if $J = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_4 \cap P_5 \cap P_6$ then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \oplus S/P_3 \rightarrow S/(J + P_3) \rightarrow 0$ gives the second condition of *iii*). Remaining choices for J , are equivalent to these three cases, which proves *ii*) $\Rightarrow$ *iii*).

iii) $\Rightarrow$ *i*) : Suppose now that *iii*) holds, let us say $\{P_1 \subset P_5 + P_6, P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$ holds. Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^s Q_i$ be the irredundant monomial irreducible

decomposition of I . Apply induction on s . If $s = 6$, then (P_i) must be irreducible and so P_1 has the form (x^a, y^b) . We consider the filtration

$I \subset (I, x^a) \subset (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. Note that $P_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$. But $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a) \cap ((P_4, x^a) : y^b) \cap ((P_5, x^a) : y^b) \cap (P_6, x^a)$.

As $P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4$ and $P_1 \subset P_5 + P_6$, b is biggest power of y in $\{G(P_1), G(P_4), G(P_5)\}$ and thus $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a) \cap (P_6, x^a)$. Also since $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$ it follows that $(P_2, x^a) = P_2 \subset (P_3, x^a)$. Thus $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_6, x^a)$ and $P_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean by Lemma 2.1.

Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$. We have $(I : x^a) = (P_2 : x^a) \cap (P_3 : x^a) \cap (P_4 : x^a) \cap (P_5 : x^a) \cap (P_6 : x^a)$. As above a is the biggest power of x in $G(P_1), G(P_2), G(P_6)$. It follows $I : x^a = P_3 \cap P_4 \cap P_5$, so $S/(I : x^a)$ is clean by Lemma 2.2. Gluing together the clean filtrations obtained above we get a clean filtration of S/I , that is when $s = 5$, then *i*) holds for $\{P_1 \subset P_5 + P_6, P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4, P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3\}$.

Assume $s > 5$. After renumbering Q_i we may suppose that $Q_1 = (x^a, y^b)$ for some a, b . Moreover we may suppose that b is the biggest power of y which can enter in $G(Q_i)$ with $\sqrt{Q_i} = (x, y)$. Consider the filtration as above $I \subset (I, x^a) \subset Q_1 = (x^a, y^b) \subset S$. We have $Q_1/(I, x^a) \cong S/((I, x^a) : y^b)$ and $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_3, x^a) \cap (P_6, x^a)$ because $P_1 \subset P_2 + P_4$, $P_1 \subset P_5 + P_6$. We get also $x^a \in P_2$. Since $P_2 \subset P_1 + P_3$ we have $(P_2, x^a) \subset (P_3, x^a)$ and so $(I, x^a) : y^b = (P_2, x^a) \cap (P_6, x^a)$. Thus $Q_1/(I, x^a)$ is clean by Lemma 2.1. Now note that $(I, x^a)/I \cong S/(I : x^a)$ and $(I : x^a) = \bigcap_{i=2}^s (Q_i : x^a)$ and we apply the induction hypothesis for $(I : x^a)$ because the condition *iii*) are fulfilled in this case. As above gluing the obtained clean filtrations we get S/I clean.

Other cases from *iii*), are similar. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, On multigraded resolutions, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **118**, (1995), 245-257.
- [2] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised Edition, Cambridge, 1996.
- [3] A. Dress, A new algebraic criterion for shellability, Beitr. Algebr. Geom. **340**(1), (1993), 45-55.
- [4] J. Herzog, A. Soleyman Jahan, S. Yassemi, Stanley decompositions and partitionable simplicial complexes, Preprint 2007, Arxiv:math.AC/0612848v2.
- [5] J. Herzog, D. Popescu, Finite filtrations of modules and shellable multicomplexes, Manuscripta Math. **121**, (2006), 385-410.

- [6] J. Herzog, Y. Takayama, N. Terai, On the radical of a monomial ideal, *Archiv Math.* **85**, (2005), 397-408.
- [7] D. Popescu, Criteria for shellable multicomplexes, *An. St. Univ. Ovidius, Constanta* **14**(2), (2006), 73-84, Arxiv:math.AC/0505655.
- [8] P. Schenzel, On the dimension filtrations and Cohen-Macaulay filtered modules, In: *Commutative algebra and algebraic geometry (Ferrara)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **206**, Dekker, New York, 1999, 245-264.
- [9] A. Soleyman Jahan, Prime filtrations of monomial ideals and polarizations, to appear in *J. Alg.*, Arxiv:math.AC/0605119.
- [10] R. P. Stanley, Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology, *Invent. Math.* **68**, (1982), 175-193.
- [11] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial algebras*, Dekker, New York, 2001.

SARFRAZ AHMAD, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, 68-B NEW MUSLIM TOWN, LAHORE, PAKISTAN.

E-mail address: sarfraz11@gmail.com

DORIN POPESCU, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS "SIMION STOILOW", UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, P.O. BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA

E-mail address: dorin.popescu@imar.ro